STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME Fish and Game	CONTACT PERSON Jayna Schaaf-DaSilva	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	Jayna Schaar-DaShva	831.649.7196 NOTICE FILE NUMBER			
Recreational and Commercial Fishing Regulations for Federal Groundfish for 2011 and 2012		Z			
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	(Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking re	cord.)			
		*			
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate who	ether this regulation:				
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting	requirements			
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees e. Imposes reporting requirements b. Impacts small businesses f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance					
c. Impacts jobs or occupations					
d. Impacts California competitiveness					
The name and inventors		ement as appropriate.)			
h. (cont.) The proposed amendment	s are needed to conform to new federal regulations (50 CFR Part 660). See ISOR			
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked	, complete this Economic Impact Statement.)				
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: $rac{ extbf{N}}{ ext{N}}$	A Describe the types of businesses (Include	nonprofits.): Sport and commercial fishing			
	owners, boat manufacturers, vendors of food, bait, f				
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	impacted that are small businesses: NA				
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created:	eliminated: NA				
	ole and would be highly speculative - see ISOR disc	nacion Continu VII(a)			
Explain: Qualitative estimates are not available	one and would be nightly speculative - see ISOK disc	ussion, Section VI(a).			
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas.):				
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts.	cocal of regional (cist areas.).				
·					
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or elimi	nated: NA Describe the types of jobs or occupations	impacted: Increased fishing oppor-			
	however, the magnitude is highly speculative see				
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?					
□ Vaa □ □ Na □ If was sombol	to be defined.				
Yes No If yes, explain	п влету:				
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assu	imptions in the rulemaking record)				
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ NA					
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:			
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:			
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:			
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: The proposed regulations would be effective for 2011 and 2012 and subject					
to inseason regulatory changes.					

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

		I costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar perwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): NA
Will this regulation directly impact housing or number of units:	<u>.</u>	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and the
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?		No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal or consistency with federal fishing regulations in waters 3-200 mi off CA.
and the second of the second o	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nay be due to State - Federal differences: \$ NA
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the c	ollar value of benefits	s is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)
Briefly summarize the benefits that may result.	It from this regulation a	and who will benefit: Increased fishing opportunity extended to pub-
		et harvest limits. Catches that stay within allowable
harvest levels should lead to long-term	sustainability, whi	ich will benefit all Californians.
	sh and Game Comn	ents, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? smission authority to establish sport fishing regulations (FGC Section 205) fetime? \$ NA
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (In specifically required by rulemaking law, but enco	clude calculations and ouraged.)	d assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
List alternatives considered and describe the	m below. If no alternati	ntives were considered, explain why not: No alternatives were considered as
this regulatory action is needed to conf		
Summarize the total statewide costs and ben	efits from this regulatio	ion and each alternative considered:
		Cost: \$
	nefit: \$ nefit: \$	
		parison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider	er performance standa	dards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or
equipment, or prescribes specific actions or p	procedures. Were perfe	formance standards considered to lower compliance costs?
Explain:		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will the estimated of	osts of this regulation to Calif	ornia business enterprises exceed	d \$10 million ?	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
2. Briefly describe ea	ch equally as an effective alte	ernative, or combination of alterna	tives, for which a cost-effectivenes	s analysis was performed:
Alternative 1:				
Alternative 2:				
3. For the regulation,	and each alternative just desc	ribed, enter the estimated total co	est and overall cost-effectiveness re	atio:
Regulation:	•		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
		FISCAL IMPACT	STATEMENT	
A. FISCAL EFFECT O		Indicate appropriate boxes1 throu	gh 6 and attach calculations and a	assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
			ent State Fiscal Year which are rein seq. of the Government Code. Fu	mbursable by the State pursuant to nding for this reimbursement:
a. is pro	ovided in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
b. will b	e requested in the	Governo	's Budget for appropriation in Bud	get Act of
			nt State Fiscal Year which are not seq. of the Government Code bec	reimbursable by the State pursuant to ause this regulation:
a. imple	ements the Federal mandate o	contained in		
b. imple	ments the court mandate set i	forth by the		
cou	urt in the case of		vs	
c. imple		ole of this State expressed in their	approval of Proposition No.	at the (DATE)
d. is issu	ued only in response to a spec	cific request from the		
				are the only local entity(s) affected;
e. will b	e fully financed from the	(FE	ES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
			will, at a minimum, offset any add	
g. creat	tes, eliminates, or changes the	e penalty for a new crime or infrac	tion contained in	
3. Savings of ap	proximately \$	annually.		
4. No additional	costs or savings because this	s regulation makes only technical,	non-substantive or clarifying chan	ges to current law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

√ 5.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.						
6.	Other.						
B. FISO	CAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	nd assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren					
1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is antic	ripated that State agencies will:					
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.						
	b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.						
2.	Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.						
√ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.						
4.	Other.						
C. FISC	CAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and	attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal					
impact	for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)						
1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.						
2.	Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.						
√ 3.	3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.						
_	Other.						
FISCAL	OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE					
D	Hich E Carpa	7-29-10					
	OVAL/CONCURRENCE Jon K Fischer	DATE 8-19-10					
	PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE					
	RTMENT OF FINANCE SOLVEN S						

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
 impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
 ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.